

# **D8.2**

# **Report on the components of the** *in silico* **modelling and simulation environment**

## Project Number: FP6-2005-IST-026996

Deliverable id: ACGT\_D8.2\_ICCS\_final

Deliverable name: Report on the components of the in silico modelling and simulation environment

Submission Date: 15/12/2007

### **RESTRICTED TO THE ACGT CONSORTIUM AND THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION**





Document type PU = public, INT = internal, RE = restricted

**NB This document is restricted to the ACGT Consortium and the European Commission** *until* **the standard scientific publication of the contained work.** 

### **ABSTRACT:**

This report presents the initial version of the simulation component of the ACGT Oncosimulator. The document is also to be used as a complement of the corresponding simulation codes with which it constitutes deliverable D8.2. It provides a description of the medical and basic science problems addressed, an outline of the simulated biological mechanisms and their interdependencies, a brief list of the mathematical concepts and methods recruited or newly developed and applied, an overview of the simulation code, some indicative simulation results and a list of the next developmental steps. The simulation results already obtained substantiate the clinical potential, the flexibility and the robustness of this novel component, especially in view of its expected translation into the clinical environment in order to serve as a patient individualized treatment optimization tool, following a strict prospective clinical validation procedure.

**KEYWORD LIST**: *in silico* oncology, oncosimulator, cancer, tumour growth, modeling, simulation, therapy response modeling, cancer biology, clinical oncology, cancer treatment, optimization, cellular automata, Monte Carlo, multilevel modeling, multiscale modeling, breast cancer, nephroblastoma, Wilms tumour.



List of Contributors

- − Dimitra Dionysiou, ICCS-NTUA
- − Eleni Kolokotroni, ICCS-NTUA
- − Eleni Georgiadi, ICCS-NTUA and FORTH
- − Norbert Graf, USAAR: "*continuous" clinical feedback provider*
- − Christine Desmedt, IJB
- − Nikoletta Sofra, ICCS-NTUA and Imperial College London
- − Persefoni Karvouni, ICCS-NTUA
- − Alexander Hoppe, USAAR

## Contents

### **CONTENTS**



## **1 Executive Summary**

This report presents the initial version of the simulation component of the ACGT Oncosimulator. The module under consideration which includes novel algorithms and computer codes simulates the spatiotemporal course of a solid tumour; in this case of nephroblastoma or breast cancer that is chemotherapeutically treated in the neoadjuvant setting according to protocols dictated by the SIOP 2001/GPOH or the TOP clinical trial respectively. The simulation component aims, after its prospective clinical refinement and validation, and in conjunction with a number of technological complementary components to support the clinician in the selection of the optimal treatment for a given patient, based on his or her imaging, histopathological, molecular and clinical data. The fundamental simulation strategy, the assumptions made, the complexity diagrams developed and a presentation of the basics of the accompanying computer code constitute the core of the document. Indicative simulation results already obtain substantiate the potential, the flexibility and the robustness of the models. A brief outline of the next developmental steps is also provided at the end of the report.

## **2 Introduction**

The aim of the present report is to provide a brief description of the first version of the simulation component of the Oncosimulator as well as some indicative results already obtained with it. A detailed description of the more advanced versions of the simulation component as well as the technological components that together will constitute the Oncosimulator will be given within the framework of future ACGT deliverables and scientific publications according to the currently valid ACGT Description of Work.

The cores of the two current versions of the simulation code corresponding to the two clinical cases considered i.e. nephroblastoma and breast cancer are essentially the same. Their main difference, apart from the different values of the model parameters, lies in the different pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics submodules for the drugs/ combinations of the drugs administered. The "D8.2 DEMONSTRATION OF COMPONENTS OF THE *IN SILICO* MODELLING AND SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT - INITIAL SIMULATION COMPONENT OF THE ONCOSIMULATOR: BREAST CANCER VERSION" and "D8.2 DEMONSTRATION OF COMPONENTS OF THE *IN SILICO* MODELLING AND SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT - INITIAL SIMULATION COMPONENT OF THE ONCOSIMULATOR: NEPHROBLASTOMA VERSION" are to serve as the demonstration parts of D8.2.

The simulation models are based on the "top-down" modeling approach developed by the *In Silico* Oncology Group, ICCS, National Technical University of Athens. Their aim is to simulate, within defined limits of reliability, tumour growth and response to pre-operative chemotherapy for the cases of nephroblastoma (Wilms' tumour) and breast cancer. To this end a tight and highly fruitful collaboration with the responsible clinicians has been developed. Cellular automata, the generic Monte Carlo technique and pharmacokinetic differential equations constitute the mathematical basis of the simulation. A discretizing mesh covers the anatomic area of interest. A system of quantizing cell clusters included within each geometrical cell of the discretizing mesh lies at the heart of the simulation approach, as described in detail below. Various mechanisms such as tumour expansion or shrinkage and the effects of particular drugs on the tumour under consideration are incorporated and explicitly described.

The following issues are addressed in the rest of the document:

- the medical and basic science problems tackled
- the simulated biological mechanisms and their interdependencies
- the mathematical concepts and methods recruited or developed and applied
- the simulation code
- indicative results
- the next developmental steps

Finally an overall conclusion appears at the end.

## **3 The Medical and Basic Science Problems Addressed**

In the case of nephroblastoma, the simulation algorithms so far address the cases of preoperative chemotherapy for unilateral stage I-III tumours treated in the framework of the ACGT clinical trials (SIOP 2001/GPOH) with a combination of actinomycin-D and vincristine (see Deliverable 12.1 and Fig. 1). The case of stage IV pre-operative treatment with actinomycin-D, vincristine and doxorubicin will be addressed in future versions of the simulation model.



If body weight < 12 kg: dose reduction to 2/3 for each drug Major intolerance: doses on the next course should be reduced to 2/3

Fig. 1. The simulated therapy protocol for Wilms' tumours. See ACGT Deliverable 12.1.

In the case of breast cancer, the simulation algorithms so far address the cases of primary chemotherapy ("neo-adjuvant" chemotherapy) with single-agent epirubicin (100  $mg/m^2$  i.v. once every 3 weeks for 4 consecutive cycles) for early breast cancer patients in the framework of the ACGT clinical trials (TOP trial) (see Deliverable 12.1 and Fig. 2).





As a first approximation, spatially homogeneous tumours of ellipsoidal and spherical shape are considered for nephroblastoma and breast cancer, respectively. This is a reasonable first approximation based on accumulated clinical experience for these tumours and on the fact that the triaxial ellipsoid shape is extensively used in clinical trial case report forms for nephroblastoma, while the maximum diameter of the tumour is used in Case Report Forms for breast cancer.

In fact, nephroblastoma tumour volume is computed in the framework of ACGT clinical trials based on imaging studies as: V=a x b x c x 0.523 cm<sup>3</sup>, where a: length (cm), b: width (cm), c: thickness (cm). In a similar manner, the primary chemotherapy response in the case of breast cancer is computed based on ultrasound imaging as: response = (1 size tumour at the end of neo-adjuvant treatment/size tumour at baseline) x100%, where the size of the tumour is defined as the maximum diameter measured by ultrasound imaging data.

The triaxial ellipsoidal shape can produce the special shapes of prolate and oblate spheroids and spheres. More general shapes and non homogeneous internal tumour structures will be addressed in subsequent versions of the simulation code. It should also be noted that the term "homogeneous" tumour in this context refers to the absence of large local differences, such as an obvious necrotic core or an obvious proliferative rim, based on macroscopic imaging data.

## **4 An Outline of the Simulated Mechanisms and their Interdependencies**

#### **SIMULATION OF DRUG PHARMACOKINETICS-PHARMACODYNAMICS**

A thorough study of the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of the involved chemotherapeutic agents (actinomycin-D and vincristine for the case of Wilms' tumour and epirubicin for the case of breast cancer) has been conducted. Details of the simulation approach adopted for each case are presented in the following paragraphs.

It should be noted that for any drug there are numerous sources of interpatient variability concerning both pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Appropriate adjustment of the various related parameters according to clinical data which will be provided in the framework of ACGT trials is facilitated by the discrete nature of the model and is expected to satisfactorily reflect this variability. Therefore, the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic considerations described below represent typical cases to start with and serve as an indication of the potential of the "Oncosimulator".

#### **A. Chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of Wilms' tumours**

#### **A1. Vincristine pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics**

After a 1.5 mg/m<sup>2</sup> intravenous bolus injection the AUC of vincristine is given in (Groninger et al, 2002) as equal to 6.7mg/L/min.

In (Dahl et al, 1976) an experiment was carried out to test whether the arrest in metaphase of cervical carcinoma cells after treatment with various concentrations of vincristine for 6 hours was reversible. Treatment with  $16\times10^{-3}$  ug/ml of vincristine for 6 hours seems to produce an irreversible metaphase arrest and an AUC of 5.76 ug/ml/min =5.76 mg/L/min which is very close to the clinical AUC that has been observed in (Groninger et al, 2002). The metaphase index calculated at 90min after the removal of the drug (a time period during which it increases) was equal to 240 (cells stuck in metaphase per 1000 cells). This value of 240/1000=0.24 can be considered to reflect the cell kill fraction in the experiment, since mitosis cannot be completed, the cell cycle cannot proceed and death should follow.

Since the value of 5.76 ug/ml/min for the AUC in this experiment is slightly lower than the clinical AUC value of 6.7 mg/L/min, a cell kill fraction equal to 0.3 could be justified as an initial gross approximation, which is expected to be corrected if necessary with the help of real ACGT clinical data. As a first approximation also, the imperfect drug penetration into the tumour is assumed to have been taken into account in this value of 0.3 cell kill fraction.

The antineoplastic effect of vincristine is basically attributed to its ability to destroy the functionality of cell microtubules, which form the mitotic spindle, by binding to the protein tubulin (Beck et al, 2000). Failure of the mitotic spindle results in apoptotic cell death at mitosis (Wood et al, 2001).

Vincristine is characterised as a cell cycle specific agent (exerts action on cells traversing the cell cycle) (Salmon and Sartorelli, 2001) and more specifically as an M-phase specific drug (Beck et al, 2000), (Pickerton, 1988)*.* Therefore, in the simulation model vincristine is assumed to bind at cells at all cycling phases and lead to apoptotic cell death at the end of M phase.

It should be noted that vincristine cytotoxicity is known to decrease with increasing tumour cell density ("inoculum effect") (Kobayashi et al, 1998).

#### **A2. Actinomycin-D (Dactinomycin) Pharmacodynamics - Pharmacokinetics**

Actinomycin-D is a cell cycle-nonspecific antitumour antibiotic that binds to double-stranded DNA through intercalation between adjacent guanine-cytosine base pairs (Salmon and Sartorelli, 2001), thereby inhibiting its synthesis and function. It also acts to form toxic oxygen-free radicals, which create DNA strand breaks, inhibiting DNA synthesis and function. In the simulation model actinomycin-D is assumed to bind to cells at all cycling phases and lead to apoptosis at end of S phase.

Since recent literature data for dactinomycin pharmacokinetics proved to be rather scarce, a more simplistic approach has been adopted in this case as a first approximation. A cell kill fraction equal to 0.2 has been adopted as a starting point based on the fact that actinomycin-D is considered a less potent cytotoxic drug compared to vincristine, as indicated by lower AUC and higher  $IC_{50}$  values for various tumour and normal cells (Sawada et al, 2005, Veal et al, 2005). Imperfect drug penetration into the tumour is assumed to have been taken into account when considering this cell kill fraction value.

#### **A3. Vincristine and Actinomycin-D combined treatment.**

According to the SIOP clinical trial protocol, vincristine i.v. bolus injection is directly followed by an i.v. bolus injection of actinomycin-D, with no delay in-between. Therefore, as a first approximation an additive drug effect of vincristine and actinomycin-D has been assumed.

This is considered an optimal starting point for simulating the effect of practically concurrently administered drugs. The corresponding cell kill fractions computed according to the pharmacodynamics of each drug are added in order to acquire the total cell kill fraction (cell kill fraction = 1-cell survival fraction) *[http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/429259],* (Scripture and Figg, 2006).

#### **B. Breast cancer chemotherapeutic agent: Epirubicin**

#### **B1. Epirubicin pharmacokinetics**

Epirubicin pharmacokinetics has been described in various studies by an open threecompartment model. Thereby, an equation for the area under curve, AUC as a function of the dose, the volume of distribution and the transfer rate constants is derived (see Appendix 1).

Typical values for the volume of distribution (Vd=480.1L/m<sup>2</sup>) and clearance (CL=74.4L/(hm<sup>2</sup>) for a dose of 90 mg/m<sup>2</sup> have been derived from (Danesi et al, 2002). Based on these values the elimination constant  $k_{el}$ = CL/Vd can be calculated as 0.1555h<sup>-1</sup> and substituted in the equations. For the determination of the transfer rate constants the SAAM II software tool was used [http://depts.washington.edu/saam2/] with the previous input values for Vd, CL and dose as well as the experimental data of plasma concentration versus time to be used by the software for the fitting of the three-compartment model. This leads to an estimation of the transfer rate constants  $(k_{12}=0.1498h^{-1}, k_{21}=0.7231h^{-1}, k_{13}=0.1498h^{-1}, k_{31}=0.7231h^{-1})$  and finally permits an estimation of the AUC for any given dose.

#### **B2. Epirubicin pharmacodynamics.**

Epirubicin is an anthracycline chemotherapeutic agent, derivative of doxorubicin. It exerts its cytotoxic action through various mechanisms; the most established one is intercalation between bases of double stranded DNA thereby inhibiting DNA synthesis and function. It interferes with DNA transcription and inhibits topoisomerase II by forming a complex with DNA and topoisomerase II, which leads to DNA strand breaks. It also acts to form toxic oxygen-free radicals, causing DNA strand breaks, and inhibiting DNA synthesis and function (Perry, 2008). Epirubicin is considered a cell cycle non-specific drug (Salmon and Sartorelli, 2001). In the simulation model tumour cells are assumed to absorb the drug at all cycling phases and apoptotic death occurs at the end of S phase.

As a first approximation, the survival fraction for epirubicin is computed on the basis of experimental FDA data concerning the pharmacodynamics of epirubicin, and more specifically the *in vitro* cytotoxicity of epirubicin on HeLa cells *[http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/nda/99/50-778\_Ellence\_pharmr.pdf]*, as depicted in Table 1 and Fig. 3.

Therefore, the survival fraction can be calculated from the above data by linear interpolation. In this case also, a fraction of the calculated AUC (e.g. 2AUC/3) is a reasonable approximation that may be used to account for inadequate drug penetration into the entire tumour. Particularly for human breast cancer steep doxorubicin gradients have been shown in relevant studies (Lankelma et al, 1999).

Concentration(ug/ml) Time(h)		<b>AUC</b>	<b>SF</b>
0,125	$\overline{2}$	0,25	0,78
0,25	$\overline{2}$	0,5	0,66
0,5	2	1	0,6
0,25	8	2	0,54
0,5	8	4	0,47
0,25	24	6	0,4
0,5	24	12	0,34

Table 1. Experimental data for HeLa cells' survival



Fig. 3. Experimental data for HeLa cells survival as a function of epirubicin AUC.

Summarizing, for the simulation of primary chemotherapy treatment of early breast cancer patients in the framework of ACGT clinical trial, the following typical parameter values (directly derived from literature or calculated) may serve as a starting basis (Table 2):

Table 2. Typical parameter values adopted in the simulation model for epirubicin pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics

**Dose (D)** 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup>



#### **PHARMACOKINETICS AND PHARMACODYNAMICS REFERENCES**

W.T.Beck, C.E.Cass, P.J.Houghton. Microtubule-targeting anticancer drugs derived from plants and microbes: Vinca alkaloids, taxanes and epothilones. In: Holland JF, Frei E III, Bast RC Jr, Kufe DW, Morton DL, Weichselbaum RR, eds. Cancer Medicine, 5th Edition. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2000:680-698.

W.N. Dahl, R. Oftebro, E.O.Pettersen, T. Brustad. Inhibitory and cytotoxic effects of Oncovin (Vincristine Sulfate) on cells of human line NHIK 3025. Cancer Res. 36, 3101-3105, 1976.

R.Danesi, F.Innocenti, S.Fogli, A.Gennari, E.Baldini, A.Di Paolo, B.Salvadori, G.Bocci, P.F.Conte and M.Del Tacca, "Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of combination chemotherapy with paclitaxel and epirubicin in breast cancer patients", Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, vol. 53, pp 508-518, 2002.

H.Eichholtz-Wirth, "Dependence of the cytostatic effect of adriamycin on drug concenration and exposure time in vitro," Br. J. Cancer, vol. 41, pp. 886–891, 1980.

E. Groninger, T. Meeuwsen-de Boer, P. Koopmans, D. Uges, W. Sluiter, A. Veerman, W. Kamps, S. de Graaf. Pharmacokinetics of Vincristine Monotherapy in Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Pediatric Research 52: 113-118, 2002.

W.J.Jusko, "Pharmacodynamics of chemotherapeutic effects: Dose-time- response relationships for phase-nonspecific agents," J. Pharm. Sci., vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 892–895, 1971.

H. Kobayashi, Y.Takemura, JF. Holland, T.Ohnuma. Vincristine saturation of cellular binding sites and its cytotoxic activity in human lymphoblastic leukaemia cells. Biochem. Pharmacol. 55: 1229-1234, 1998.

J.Lankelma, H.Dekker, R.F. Luque, S.Luykx, K.Hoekman, P.van der Valk, P.J.van Diest, H.M.Pinedo. Doxorubicin gradients in human breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 5: 1703-1707, 1999.

A.L.Minchinton and I.F.Tannock. Drug penetration in solid tumors. Nat Rev Cancer 6: 583- 592, 2006.

M.C.Perry ed. The Chemotherapy Source Book. USA, Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2008.

C. R. Pinkerton, B. McDermott, T. Philip, P. Biron, C. Ardiet, H. Vandenberg, and M. Brunat-Mentigny Continuous vincristine infusion as part of a high dose chemoradiotherapy regimen: drug kinetics and toxicity, Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 22: 271-274, 1988.

J.Robert. Use of pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamics relationships in the development of new anthracyclines. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 32: 99-102, 1993.

S.E.Salmon and A.C.Sartorelli "Cancer Chemotherapy", in Basic & Clinical Pharmacology, B.G.Katzung, ed. Lange Medical Books/McGraw-Hill, International Edition, pp.923-1044, 2001.

K.Sawada, K.Noda, H. Nakajima, N. Shimbara, Y.Furuichi, M. Sugimoto. Differential cytotoxicity of anticancer agents in pre- and post-immortal lymphoblastoid cell lines. Biol Pharm Bull 28: 1202-1207, 2005.

C.D.Scripture and W.D.Figg. Drug interactions in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 6: 546- 558, 2006.

H. E. Skipper, F. M. Schabel, L. B. Mellett, J. A. Montgomery, L. J. Wilkoff, H. H. Lloyd, and R. W. Brockman, "Implications of biochemical, cytokinetic, pharmacologic, and toxicologic relationships in the design of optimal therapeutic schedules," Cancer Chemother. 54: 431– 450, 1970.

G.J.Veal, M. Cole, J.Errington, A.Parry, J.Hale, A.D.J.Pearson, K.Howe, J.C.Chiholm, C.Beane, B.Brennan, F.Waters, A.Glaser, S.Hemsworth, H. McDowell, Y.Wright, K.ritchard-Jones, R.Pinkerton, G.Jenner, J.Nikolson, A.M.Elsworth, A.V.Boddy, and UKCCSG Pharmacology Working Groups. Pharmacokinetics of Dactinomycin in a pediatric patient population: a United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study group study. Clin Cancer Res 11(16): 5893-5899, 2005.

K.W.Wood, W.D.Cornwell, J.R.Jackson. Past and future of the mitotic spindle as an oncology target. Current Opinion in Pharmacology 1(4): 370-377, 2001.

#### **OUTLINE OF THE SIMULATION ALGORITHMS**

#### **The equivalence classes**

Each geometrical cell (GC) of the discretizing mesh constituting the region of interest contains a number of cells (NBC). The typical cell density of  $10^9$ cells/cm<sup>3</sup> (G. Steel, ed. Basic Clinical Radiobiology. London, UK: Arnold, 2002, p.9)) is adopted and therefore a GC of 1mm<sup>3</sup> is assumed to contain 10 $^6$  cells. Each GC includes the following equivalence classes:

- Stem cells: cells assumed to possess unlimited proliferative potential
- Limp cells: cells with **li**mited **m**itotic (proliferative) potential also known as progenitor cells
- Diff cells: terminally **diff**erentiated cells
- Necrotic cells: cells that have died through necrosis
- Apoptotic cells: cells that have died through apoptosis

Stem or limp cells can be proliferating or dormant  $(G_0)$  (due to inadequate oxygen and/or nutrient supply). Proliferating stem or limp cells are further distributed into classes corresponding to the cell cycle phase in which they reside:  $G_1$  (Gap 1 phase), S (DNA synthesis phase),  $G_2$  (Gap 2 phase), M (Mitosis). The initial distribution of the proliferating cells to the various cell cycle phases is currently assumed analogous to the corresponding typical cell cycle phase durations, as indicated for malignant cells in the relevant literature (S.E.Salmon and A.C.Sartorelli "Cancer Chemotherapy", in Basic & Clinical Pharmacology, B.G.Katzung, ed. Lange Medical Books/McGraw-Hill, International Edition, pp.923-1044, 2001):

$$
T_{G1}{\approx}T_C{\cdot}40\%~,~T_S\approx T_C{\cdot}39\%,~T_{G2}\approx T_C{\cdot}19\%,~T_M\approx T_C{\cdot}2\%
$$

Obviously, various initial cell cycle phase distributions can be easily considered.

All cells of a given class within a GC are assumed to be synchronized, whereas cells of different GCs or different classes of the same GC are not synchronized (a random number generator is used for this purpose).

#### **Cytokinetic models for tumour growth and tumour response to chemotherapy**

All cells in all GCs follow the cytokinetic diagrams (stochastic cellular automata models) presented in Fig. 4 (for the case of chemotherapy) and Fig. 5 (for the case of untreated tumour growth). These are general cytokinetic models that can be adapted for specific tumour data and drugs under consideration by adequately adjusting the corresponding simulation parameters (e.g. the probabilities of the various transitions between phases, the cell cycle durations etc.).

The biological phenomena incorporated into the simulation models as described in figures 4 and 5 are:

- Cycling of proliferating cells through the subsequent phases of the cell cycle.
- Symmetric and asymmetric stem cell division.
- Proliferation of limited proliferative potential (progenitor) cells (up to n=3 divisions in the current version of the simulation code).
- Terminal differentiation of progenitor cells.
- Spontaneous apoptosis.
- Transition to a dormant  $(G_0)$  phase due to inadequate supply with oxygen and nutrients.
- Local reoxygenation and nutrient provision reestablishment.
- Cell death through necrosis due to prolonged oxygen and nutrients deprivation.

• Chemotherapy-induced cell death: possibility of consideration of cell cycle–specific or cell cycle-nonspecific chemotherapeutic agents. Possibility of consideration of distinct cytotoxic mechanisms of drugs, by varying the relative weights of cell death from each cell cycle phase (cell cycle phase-specific drugs) or the phase of the cell cycle in which the drug's lethal effect becomes manifest. Lethally hit cells are assumed to enter a rudimentary cell cycle before ultimately dying.



Fig. 4. General cytokinetic model incorporating chemotherapeutic treatment. STEM: stem cells. LIMP: Limited proliferative potential cells. DIFF: terminally differentiated cells. CCNS: cell cycle – nonspecific chemotherapeutic agent. In the present version of the code n has been taken equal to 3. In future versions higher values of n will be explored.



Fig. 5. General cytokinetic model for untreated tumour growth. STEM: stem cells. LIMP: Limited proliferative potential cells. DIFF: terminally differentiated cells. In the present version of the code n has been taken equal to 3. In future versions higher values of n will be explored.

#### **Distribution of the initial number of cells in the basic populations of stem, limp, diff and dead cells.**

The discrete character of the simulation model enables the consideration of various exploratory initial percentages of the cells in the various equivalence classes. For future simulations the initialization procedure will be performed based on available clinical data and clinical experience.

Nevertheless, a thorough study of the correlation between initial cell class percentages and values for transition probabilities in the cytokinetic model has been performed. See below the "Nomogram of cell state category transition probabilities and distribution of cell state categories in a free growing tumour" (in Section 7).

It should be reminded that in the current version of the simulation models the tumours are assumed to be spatially uniform and all GCs are initialized in the same way, but in future versions of the models, GCs belonging to different regions of the tumour could be characterized by distinct initial percentages of the various cell populations, as it would be dictated for example from imaging and/or other clinical data.

#### **Algorithms for tumour expansion and shrinkage**

In order to simulate tumour expansion or shrinkage, an upper (NBC<sub>upper</sub>) and a lower limit ( $NBC_{lower}$ ) in the number of cells in each GC are defined:

 $NBC_{\text{upper}} = \text{NBC} + \text{fr}(NBC)$ 

 $NBC_{lower} = NBC - fr(NBC)$ 

where fr(NBC) represents a fraction of NBC.

At each mesh scan, if the number of tumour cells contained within a given GC becomes less than  $NBC_{lower}$ , then a procedure that attempts to "unload" the cells in the neighbouring GCs with less than NBC cells takes place, aiming at emptying the current GC (an 26-GC neighborhood is considered). The unloaded cells are preferentially placed into the neighbouring GCs having the maximum available free space. If two or more of the neihgbouring GCs possess the same amount of free space, then a random number generator is used for the selection. If the given GC becomes empty, it is "removed" from the tumour: An appropriate shift of a chain of GCs, intended to fill the "vacuum", leads to tumour shrinkage. This can happen e.g. after a number of cells have been killed by irradiation.

On the other hand, if the number of cells within a given GC exceeds NBCupper, then a similar procedure attempting to unload the excess cells in the surrounding GCs takes place. If the unloading procedure fails to reduce the number of cells to less than NBC<sub>upper</sub>, then a new GC "emerges". Its position relative to the "mother" GC is determined using a random number generator. An appropriate shifting of a chain of adjacent GCs leads to the expansion of the tumour. The "newborn" GC contains the excess cells, which are distributed in the various phase classes according to the distribution in the "mother" GC.

As noted above, in the tumour expansion and shrinkage algorithms an appropriate shifting of the contents of a chain of adjacent GCs takes place when a new GC is "created" or an empty GC is "removed" from the mesh. Shifting takes place along lines of random direction. This algorithm is based on the generation of random points on the surface of a hypothetical sphere centered on the GC under consideration. The

ACGT FP6-026996 D8.2 – Report on the components of the in silico modelling and simulation environment

shifting of the GCs takes place along the "line" connecting the GC under consideration and the selected random point. The discrete approximation of the line connecting the two points is computed by truncation to the nearest integer. In addition, a special morphological rule is applied; in the case of tumour shrinkage, the outermost (non-empty) GC is detected along each one of among a number of lines of random direction directions of shrinkage. Its "6-Neighbour" GCs belonging to the Tumour (NGCT) are counted. The direction corresponding to the minimum NGCT is selected as the shifting direction. A similar, though inverse, morphologicalmechanical rule can be applied in the case of tumour expansion. These morphological rules lead to tumour shrinkage or expansion conformal to the initial shape of the tumour, provided that the mechanical properties of the surrounding normal tissues are assumed to be uniform. The need for the formulation of these morphological rules for tumour shrinkage and expansion has arisen from the inspection of the macroscopic results of the simulation algorithms. A completely random selection of one out of a number of shifting directions results in a premature extensive fragmentation of the tumour region in the case of chemotherapy, which is usually incompatible with clinical experience.

It should also be noted that the value of fr(NBC) influences the uniformity of the mesh in terms of cell density, with a direct impact on the geometrical and volumetric aspects of the simulation. In the current version of the models fr(NBC) = NBC/10. In any case, it should be noted that the underlying biology of the tumour cells remains relatively unaffected by the choice of fr(NBC).

#### **Simulation outline**

At each time step the geometrical mesh is scanned and the new "state" of a given GC is determined as follows:

- The time registers of all GCs decrease by one hour.
- Cell loss due to apoptosis and necrosis is computed.
- At time points corresponding to chemotherapy treatment, the number of cells lethally hit is computed based on the survival fraction that has been estimated by the simulation of the specific drugs' pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics as described in previous paragraphs. These cells enter the rudimentary cell cycle of chemotherapy-hit cells (Fig. 4).
- Necessary cell cycle phase transitions according to the cytokinetic model are performed.
- Necessary cell transfer between GCs takes place, new GCs are created or empty GCs are deleted according to the tumour expansion/shrinkage algorithms described above. In all cases of cell transfer between GCs an adjustment of the time registers of each class takes place, based on appropriate weighting according to the size of the class populations involved.

**DEMONSTRATION OF A POSSIBLE SIMPLE MOLECULAR NETWORK TO REPRESENT THE EFFECT OF MOLECULAR PROFILE TO THE PHARMACODYNAMICS OF EPIRUBICIN WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE TOP TRIAL** 

ACGT FP6-026996 D8.2 – Report on the components of the in silico modelling and simulation environment

In the present section a *novel* simplified initial molecular network making use of Boolean algebra logical gates is proposed in order to demonstrate how expressions of key genes in a particular clinical trial can be used in order to adapt the pharmacodynamics of a drug about its population based mean value. Although the exact ioint effect of the genes considered may well be modified according to the *details and outcome of the TOP trial*, only for reasons of demonstration, information published in *S.H.Giordano, "Update on Locally Advanced Breast Cancer," The Oncologist, vol.8, pp.521-530, 2003* has been used. According to this paper "For patients treated with anthracycline-based induction chemotherapy, small tumour size, high nuclear grade, high proliferation index (Ki67), and coexpression of HER-2/neu and topoisomerase II have been associated with greater response rates [internal paper references 53–58]. Conversely, mutation of the p53 gene is associated with a lower response rate to chemotherapy [internal papers references 59, 60]. As a first approximation "digitizing" the previous information into "significant" and "non significant" expression levels corresponding to the Boolean values of "1" and "0" respectively we construct the following Boolean molecular network diagram.

AN OVERSIMPLIFIED (INITIAL) BOOLEAN DIAGRAM DEMONSTRATING HOW A GENE-PROTEIN NETWORK LEADING TO PERTURBED SURVIVAL FRACTION (APOPTOSIS PROBABILITIES) COULD BE DEVELOPED FOR THE CASE OF BREAST CANCER TREATED WITH EPIRUBICIN. THE EXPRESSIONS OF TOPOISOMERASE II  $\alpha$  AND HER2/neu, AND THE MUTATION STATUS OF THE p53 GENE ARE CONSIDERED



Fig. 6 Obviously the proposed method may serve as a gross first approximation to the incorporation of real molecular data to the multilevel tumour growth and response model developed within the framework of Workpackage 8. SF: survival fraction of tumour cells after each drug dose.

#### **CONSIDERATION OF THE NORMAL TISSUE TOXICITY LIMITS**

Before proceeding to the *in silico* experimentation with new chemotherapeutic schedules the toxicity limits for the drug or drugs considered will have to be seriously taken into account in order not to surpass them. Clinical trial phase I data pertaining to the drugs or combinations of drugs considered will serve as valuable sources of such information necessary for the eventual clinical translation of the Oncosimulator.

## **5 Mathematical Concepts and Methods**

The following list contains the major mathematical methods and approaches recruited or developed and applied for the development of the models:

- Differential equation techniques (primarily applied in pharmacokinetics)
- Non deterministic cellular automata
- The generic Monte Carlo technique
- Boolean algebra and logical circuit theory (for the representation of molecular networks)
- A large number of *novel* algorithms specially developed in order to simulate several fundamental biological mechanisms pertaining to many biocomplexity levels.

Throughout the development of the models the concept of the "nomogram of cell state category transition probabilities and distribution of cell state categories in a free growing tumour" as explained in Section 7 has emerged.

## **6 An Overview of the Simulation Code**

### **CODE INPUT**

#### A - NEPHROBLASTOMA VERSION

In the present initial version of the code the input data are embedded within the code. The following table shows the parameters involved and the values they were given in order to produce the simulation results shown in section 7A.

#### **//Time durations(hrs) Comments**



#### **//Percentages of NBC @ each class**

#### **//Percentages of stem-limp-diff-dead**

const double stem\_percent=0.08;

const double limp\_percent=0.13;

const double diff percent=0.75;

const double dead\_percent=1.0-(diff\_percent+limp\_percent+stem\_percent);

#### **//LIMP percentages**

const double limp1\_percent=0.5;

const double limp2\_percent=0.3;

const double limp3\_percent=0.2;

#### **//percentage of g0 out of prolif and g0**

const double g0\_percent=0.53;

#### **//percentages of g1-s-g2-m out of total prolif**

const double g1\_percent=(max\_g1\_time/cell\_cycle\_duration)\*(1.0-g0\_percent);

const double s\_percent=(max\_s\_time/cell\_cycle\_duration)\*(1.0-g0\_percent);

const double g2\_percent=(max\_g2\_time/cell\_cycle\_duration)\*(1.0-g0\_percent);

const double m\_percent=(max\_m\_time/cell\_cycle\_duration)\*(1.0-g0\_percent);

#### **//Percentages of apoptotic & necrotic**

const double n\_percent=0.88;

const double a\_percent=0.12;

#### **//Rates of transitions**



const double n\_loss\_rate=0.05;

#### **//Dimensions of the GM (number of GC)**

const int x\_dim=100;

const int y\_dim=100;

const int z\_dim=100;

#### **//cell density= number of biological cells per GC**



#### **// max-min number of cells/GC**

const int max\_population\_accepted=(int)((1.0+margin\_percent)\*number\_biological\_cells);

const int min\_population\_accepted=(int)((1.0-margin\_percent)\*number\_biological\_cells);



1mm^3)

//no of cells per geometrical cell(each GC occupies space of

#### **//Therapy Scheme: alla time variables in hours!! Defined in main!!**

**//which drug to be administered:1.Vincristine, 2.Dactinomycin 3. Combination:vcr+act** 

extern int drug;

#### **//vcr**

extern int vcr\_start\_time;

extern double vcr\_dose; //dose per session //dose per session

//float vcr\_survival\_factor;

const float vcr\_cell\_kill\_ratio=0.3f;

#### **//act**

extern int act\_adm\_interval; interval; int

extern int act\_start\_time;

extern int vcr\_adm\_interval; //time interval between 2 administrations

// How many hours after initializing tumour will the specific drug be administered

extern int vcr\_no\_sessions; //number of sessions of the same drug

// How many hours after initializing tumour will the specific drug be administered

extern int act\_no\_sessions;  $\blacksquare$ 

extern double act\_dose;  $\blacksquare$ 

//float act\_survival\_factor;

const float act\_cell\_kill\_ratio=0.20f;

#### **//vcr+act**

const float combi\_cell\_kill\_ratio=act\_cell\_kill\_ratio+vcr\_cell\_kill\_ratio;

#### **//Output files**

extern const char \* file1;

extern const char \* file2 ;

extern const char \* file0 ;

#### INPUT PARAMETER VALUES DEFINED IN MAIN





#### B - BREAST CANCER VERSION

In the present initial version of the code the input data are embedded within the code. The following table shows the parameters involved and the values they were given in order to produce the simulation results shown in section 7B.

#### //Time durations(hrs)

//STEM Cell-cycle duration

const int stem\_cell\_cycle\_duration=23;

const int stem\_max\_g1\_time=int  $(9.0/22.0*($ stem\_cell\_cycle\_duration-1.0)+0.5); const int stem\_max\_s\_time=int  $(9.0/22.0^*(\text{stem cell cycle duration-1.0})+0.5);$ const int stem\_max\_g2\_time=int (4.0/22.0\*(stem\_cell\_cycle\_duration-1.0)+0.5);

const int stem\_max\_m\_time=1;

 //LIMP Cell-cycle duration const int limp\_cell\_cycle\_duration=23; const int limp\_max\_g1\_time=int  $(9.0/22.0*(\text{limp}$ \_cell\_cycle\_duration-1.0)+0.5); const int limp\_max\_s\_time=int (9.0/22.0\*(limp\_cell\_cycle\_duration-1.0)+0.5); const int limp\_max\_g2\_time=int  $(4.0/22.0*(\text{limp}$ \_cell\_cycle\_duration-1.0)+0.5); const int limp\_max\_m\_time=1;

// g0

const int max\_g0\_time=96;

////Dead

const int necrosis time=20;

const int apoptosis\_time=6;

//Percentages of NBC @ each class

//Percentages of stem-limp-diff-dead

const double stem\_percent=0.019;

const double limp\_percent=0.077;

const double diff\_percent=0.88;

const double dead\_percent=1.0-diff\_percent-limp\_percent-stem\_percent;

//percentage of g0 out of total stem or limp

const double g0\_percent=0.10;

//percentages of stem g1-s-g2-m out of total stem

const double stem\_g1\_percent=(double)stem\_max\_g1\_time/stem\_cell\_cycle\_duration\*(1.0-g0\_percent);

const double stem\_s\_percent=(double)stem\_max\_s\_time/stem\_cell\_cycle\_duration\*(1.0g0\_percent);

const double stem\_g2\_percent=(double)stem\_max\_g2\_time/stem\_cell\_cycle\_duration\*(1.0-g0\_percent);

const double stem\_m\_percent=(double)stem\_max\_m\_time/stem\_cell\_cycle\_duration\*(1.0g0\_percent);

//percentages of limp g1-s-g2-m out of total limp

const double limp\_g1\_percent=(double)limp\_max\_g1\_time/limp\_cell\_cycle\_duration\*(1.0g0\_percent);

const double limp\_s\_percent=(double)limp\_max\_s\_time/limp\_cell\_cycle\_duration\*(1.0-g0\_percent);

const double limp\_g2\_percent=(double)limp\_max\_g2\_time/limp\_cell\_cycle\_duration\*(1.0g0\_percent);

const double limp\_m\_percent=(double)limp\_max\_m\_time/limp\_cell\_cycle\_duration\*(1.0-g0\_percent);

//Percentages of apoptotic & necrotic

const double n\_percent=0.8;

const double a percent=0.2;

#### $//Rates$ transitions

of



const double stem\_div\_percent=(1.0+sym\_percent)/2.0;//Percentage of stem cells that are derive after symmetric and asymetric divided s

//Dimensions of the GM (number of GC)

const int x\_dim=30;

const int y\_dim=30;

const int z\_dim=30;

#### //Dimensions of tumour

const int tumor\_length=20;

const int tumor\_breadth=20;

const int tumor\_width=20;

//cell density= number of biological cells per GC

const int number\_biological\_cells=1000000;//each cell\_bucket occupies space of 1mm^3

#### // max-min number of cells/GC

const int max population accepted=(1+margin percent)\*number biological cells;

const int min\_population\_accepted=(1 margin\_percent)\*number\_biological\_cells;

#### //Therapy Scheme: time variables in hours!!

const int week=7\*24; //h

const int adm\_interval=3\*week;

const int start\_time=0;

const int no\_sessions=4;//number of sessions;

//const double k=0.85; //Depends on the chemodrug,

const int dose=100;  $\mu$  m =  $\mu$ 

#### **THE CORE OF THE CODE**

#### A - NEPHROBLASTOMA VERSION

#### Table 4A Class wilmstumor UML

Class wilmstumor is the tumor whose growth and response to therapy we simulate. Main variable of this class is an object of class plegma.



Class breast\_cancer\_tumor contains the necessary attributes and functions for the simulation of tumor growth with and without therapy.

#### Table 5A The attributes of the class are the following:



#### Table 6A The functions of the class are the following:





### B - BREAST CANCER VERSION

#### Table 4B Class breast\_cancer\_tumor



Class breast\_cancer\_tumor contains the necessary attributes and functions for the simulation of tumor growth with and without therapy.



#### Table 5B The attributes of the class are the following:

#### ACGT FP6-026996 D8.2 – Report on the components of the in silico modelling and simulation environment



### Table 6B The functions of the class are the following:



#### **OUTPUT FILES**

#### A - NEPHROBLASTOMA VERSION

The code gives as an output three .dat files. :

#### **1. initial\_tumour\_' system\_time'.dat:**

Provides the total\_population of cells (4rth column) at each occupied geometrical cell @ position x(1rst column), y( 2<sup>nd</sup> column) z( 3rd column). It is used for 3D and 2D (planes) visualization of initial tumour volume.

#### **2. final\_tumour\_'system\_time'.dat**

Provides the total population of cells (4rth column) at each occupied geometrical cell  $\omega$  position x(1rst column), y(  $2^{nd}$  column) z( 3rd column). It is used for 3D and 2D (planes) visualization of the final tumour volume.

#### **3. tumour\_'system\_time'.dat:**

Provides the time (1rst column) evolution (per h) of three total populations:

- Total proliferating cells $(2^{nd}$  column)
- Total stem cells  $(3<sup>rd</sup> column)$
- Total cells (4rth column)

#### B - BREAST CANCER VERSION

The code gives as an output three .dat files. :

#### **1. initial\_tumor\_'system time'.dat**

Represents the initial tumor for 3D or 2D visualization. It contains four columns which correspond to the coordinates of the GCs that belong to the tumor and the number of the total cells that each tumor GC has:

x coordinate - y coordinate - z coordinate - total number of cells of the specific GC

#### **2. final\_tumor \_'system time'.dat**

Represents the final tumor, after the end of the simulation, for 3D or 2D visualization. It contains four columns which correspond to the coordinates of the GCs that belong to the tumor and the number of the total cells that each tumor GC has:

x coordinate - y coordinate - z coordinate - total number of cells of the specific GC

#### **3. tumor\_'system time'.dat**

Represents the evolution of three characteristic cell populations as a function of time. More specific it contains four columns:

Time (in hours) - total number of tumour stem cells – total number of tumour proliferating cells - total number of tumour proliferating cells – total number of tumour cells

The above files can be opened by Notepad or read by Excel, Matlab etc.

## **7 Indicative Results**

#### **THE NOMOGRAM OF CELL STATE CATEGORY TRANSITION PROBABILITIES AND DISTRIBUTION OF CELL STATE CATEGORIES IN A FREELY GROWING TUMOUR**

The initialization of the tumour plays an important role in its time evolution. The initial cell category percentages must be carefully chosen so as to have a tumour that develops smoothly with time without exhibiting any artificial peculiar behaviour at the beginning of the simulation. This is evident in Fig. 7B and Fig. 8B, which correspond to two simulations performed with the same transition percentages and cell cycle durations but with different initial populations of the distinct cell categories (stem, limp, diff and dead cells).

Therefore, a number of exploratory simulation executions have been performed in order to determine the (simulation starting) initial percentages of the various cell category populations that correspond to different transition probabilities and cell cycle durations. The simulations where performed using the parameter values shown in Table 7B. The initial tumour had a diameter of 10mm. Its geometrical cells (GCs) were initialized with 1000 proliferating stem cells each. All other cell category populations were set to zero. The code was executed until 1700h. The rationale behind this approach is that the cell category transition probabilities determine the cell category constitution of a tumour as t→∞.

The percentages of the various cell category populations after the end of the execution (1700h) are presented e.g. for the case of breast cancer in the nomogram of Table 8B.

It is noted that in the present initial version of the simulation code each cell of limited mitotic potential (limp or progenitor cell) is assumed to undergo *three mitoses* before it becomes terminally differentiated. The effect of more intermediate mitotic stages will be explored in subsequent versions of the simulation code.



Fig. 7B. Simulation results with inappropriately chosen initial percentages of the various populations in relation to given transition probabilities and cell cycle durations.



Fig. 8B. Simulation results with appropriately chosen initial percentages of the various populations in relation to given transition probabilities and cell cycle durations.

The following two tables depict the contruction of a nomogram of cell state category transition probabilities and distribution of cell state categories in a freely growing nephroblastoma tumour. Table 7A shows the parameters values used for the production of the nomogram whereas Table 8A presents the nomogram itself.







NOTICE: Percentages are expressed in the interval between 0 and 100. The rows highlighted in yellow correspond to tumors that self-diminish

The following two tables depict the contruction of a nomogram of cell state category transition probabilities and distribution of cell state categories in a freely growing breast cancer tumour. Table 7B shows the parameters values used for the production of the nomogram whereas Table 8B presents the nomogram itself.

It is noted that the code implementations of the two cases (nephroblastoma and breast cancer) are not identical. This was purposedly done so in order to provide two realtively independent and cross-checkable ways of performing the simulations. Therefore, some parameters and other quantities have been defined in a different but clearly indicated way.







NOTICE: Percentages are expressed in the interval between 0 and 1. The rows highlighted in yellow correspond to tumors that self-diminish

## A- THE NEPHROBLASTOMA CASE

#### **Classical graph representation of the results**

*Free Growth* 



Fig.9A The time course of proliferating cells of a nephroblastoma tumour characterized by the parameter values shown in Table 3A. The time point 0 corresponds to a triaxial ellipsoidal tumour of axes 10 mm, 20mm and 30 mm.



Fig. 10A The time course of the total cells of a wilmstumor characterized by the parameter values shown in Table 3A. The time point 0 corresponds to a triaxial ellipsoidal tumour of axes 10 mm, 20mm and 30 mm.

#### *Response to treatment*



Fig. 11A. The time course of the number of proliferating cells for nephroblastoma tumour treated with vincristine and dactinomycin according to the SIOP 2001/GPOH trial schedule considered in this document. The tumour is characterized by the parameter values shown in Table 3A. The time point 0 corresponds to a triaxial ellipsoidal tumour of axes 10 mm, 20mm and 30 mm. Treatment starts at time t= 0h.



Fig. 12A The time course of the number of stem cells for a nephroblastoma tumour treated with vincristine and dactinomycin according to the SIOP 2001/GPOH trial schedule considered in this document. The tumour is characterized by the parameter values shown in table 3A. The time point 0 corresponds to a triaxial ellipsoidal tumour of axes 10 mm, 20mm and 30 mm. Treatment starts at time t= 0h.



Fig. 13A. The time course of the number of total cells for a nephrobalstoma tumour treated with vincristine and dactinomycin according to the SIOP 2001/GPOH trial schedule considered in this document. The tumour is characterized by the parameter values shown in table 3A. The time point 0 corresponds to a triaxial ellipsoidal tumour of axes 10 mm, 20mm and 30 mm. Treatment starts at time t= 0h.





*Response to treatment* 

Fig.14A. Simulation results with treatment. The tumour is visualized two weeks after the end of the treatment scheme. The tumour is characterized by the parameter values shown in table 3A. The time point 0 corresponds to a triaxial ellipsoidal tumour of axes 10 mm, 20mm and 30 mm. Treatment starts at time t= 0h.



Fig. 15A. Simulation results with treatment. The tumour is visualized two weeks after the end of the treatment scheme. Projection on the xy plane



Fig. 16A. Simulation results with treatment. The tumour is visualized two weeks after the end of the treatment scheme. Projection on the xz plane



Fig. 17A. Simulation results with treatment. The tumour is visualized two weeks after the end of the treatment scheme. Projection on the yz plane

## B - THE BREAST CANCER CASE





*Free Growth* 

Time in h

Fig.9B The time course of the number of stem cells, proliferating cells and total number of cells for free growth of a breast tumour characterized by the parameter values shown in Table 3B. The time point 0 corresponds to a diameter of the tumour equal to 2 cm. A Gompertzian increase of tumour volume is obvious.





#### Time in h

Fig. 10B The time course of the number of stem cells, proliferating cells and total number of cells for a breast tumour treated with epirubicin according to the TOP trial schedule considered in this document. The tumour is characterized by the parameter values shown in Table 3B. The time point 0 corresponds to a diameter of the tumour equal to 2 cm. Ttreatment starts at time = 0h. During the first chemotherapeutic cycle only a decrease of the growth rate of the tumour is noted for the *particular* set of parameters considered. After the second drug administration the tumour shrinkage is more pronounced.



**Simple three dimensional rendering of the results** 

Fig. 11B Breast cancer tumour simulation results with no therapy. The tumour is visualized 42 days after the beginning of the simulation. At the beginning of the simulation the diameter of the tumour was 2 cm.



Fig. 12B Breast cancer simulation results with no therapy. The tumor is visualized 42 days after the beginning of simulation. At the beginning of the simulation its diameter was 2 cm. Colour Coding: Red: Initial Tumor. Blue: Tumour after 42 days.



Fig. 13B .Breast cancer simulation results with no therapy. The tumor is visualized 42 days after the beginning of simulation. At the beginning of the simulation its diameter was 2 cm. Colour Coding: Red: Initial Tumour, Blue: Tumour after 42 days. Projection on the xy plane.







Fig. 15B Simulation results with therapy. The tumor is visualized two weeks after the end of the treatment scheme. Colour Coding: Red: Initial Tumor Blue: Tumour two weeks after the end of the treatment scheme.



Fig. 16B Simulation results with therapy. The tumor is visualized two weeks after the end of the treatment scheme. Colour Coding: Red: Initial Tumor Blue: Tumour two weeks after the end of the treatment scheme. Projection on xy plane

## **8 Next Steps**

The immediate next steps of the simulation component development will be the following:

- Consideration of clinical (imaging) data for which the triaxial ellispoidal shape seems not to be a good approximation of their boundaries i.e. consideration of tumours with remarkably arbitrary shapes
- Consideration of non macroscopically homogeneous tumours i.e. tumours which show a macroscopic proliferative-necrotic structure based on imaging data
- Consideration of a higher number of mitoses that progenitor (LIMP) cells undertake before they become terminally differentiated tumour cells
- Systematic collection and preprocessing of heterogeneous medical data (imaging, histopathological, molecular and clinical) in order to refine, better adapt and clinically validate the models
- Integration with the technological components with which the simulation component will consitute the ACGT Oncosimulator

## **9 Conclusion**

The present report, although not a complete account of all the details and intricacies of the models developed, has provided an outline of all the major constituent modules, the basic assumptions made, the models' behaviour as well as the points that seem to be amenable to further refinement. The next steps to be taken in order to bring the models closer to the clinical environment, for which they have been *ab initio* designed, have also been outlined. The indicative results presented, being comparable with the clinical reality, support the clinical potential, the flexibility and the robustness of the models.

Concerning especially the *degrees of freedom* of the simulated system to be clinically adapted, it should be born in mind that many parameters appearing in i.e. the cytokinetic diagrams of Fig.4 and Fig.5 are not independent. For example 16 cell cycle phase durations appearing on Fig.4 can be approximately calculated from the values of a *single* parameter e.g. the cell cycle duration. This is feasible since certain cell cycle phases (S phase and mitosis) tend to have the same duration regardless of the whole cell cycle duration whereas the gap (G1 and G2) phases are lengthened in a proportional way so that they make up the rest (non S and non M) cell cycle duration. Simpler interdependence models of cell cycle duration parameters have also appeared in literature and considered during the development of the present models. Furthermore, reasonable population based values of all parameters are assigned so that the clinical data are not to be viewed as the only source of information for the determination of the parameter values appearing in the models but rather as a *means for the refinement* of the initial parameter values based on the individual patient's data. In other words the development and clinical adaptation of the model will be an evolutionary process of which the *refinement* will depend on the available medical (imaging, histopathologic, molecular and clinical) data to be provided by the two clinicogenomic trials originally addressed by ACGT.

### Appendix 1 Calculations for epirubicin pharmacokinetics: a threecompartment model

For a three-compartment first order pharmacokinetic model the plasma drug concentration can be given by:

$$
C_1 = Ae^{-\alpha t} + Be^{-\beta t} + Fe^{-\gamma t}
$$

A physical interpretation for the three-compartment first order model is the consideration of a central compartment, a deep peripheral compartment and a superficial peripheral compartment, as depicted in the following figure:



where  $C_i$ ,  $V_i$  are the concentration and volume of each compartment,  $k_{el}$  is the elimination rate constant from the central compartment, and  $k_{12}$ ,  $k_{21}$ ,  $k_{13}$ ,  $k_{31}$  are the rate constants describing drug transfer between the compartments.

$$
a = k_{el} + k_{12} + k_{21} + k_{13} + k_{31}
$$

$$
b = k_{e1}^{\text{+}}k_{21}^{\text{+}} + \text{kel}^{\text{+}}k_{31}^{\text{+}} + k_{12}^{\text{+}}k_{31}^{\text{+}} + k_{31}^{\text{+}}k_{21}^{\text{+}} + k_{21}^{\text{+}}k_{13}
$$

$$
c = k_{el} * k_{21} * k_{31}
$$

and

$$
\phi = \arctan\left(\frac{\left(\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)^2 - \frac{b}{3}\right)^3}{\left(\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)^3 + \frac{c - \frac{a^*b}{3}}{2}\right)} - 1\right)
$$

Then the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  are given by:

$$
\alpha = \frac{a}{3} + 2 * \sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)^2 - \frac{b}{3}} * \cos(\frac{\phi}{3})
$$
  

$$
b = \frac{a}{3} + 2 * \sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)^2 - \frac{b}{3}} * \cos(\frac{\phi}{3} + \frac{4 * \pi}{3})
$$

$$
\gamma = \frac{a}{3} + 2 * \sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)^2 - \frac{b}{3}} * \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{3} + \frac{2 * \pi}{3}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
A = \frac{dose}{V_1} \frac{(\alpha - k21)^*(\alpha - k31)}{(\alpha - \beta)^*(\alpha - \gamma)}
$$

$$
B = \frac{dose(\beta - k21)*( \beta - k31)}{V_1}(\beta - \alpha)*( \gamma - \alpha)
$$

$$
\Gamma = \frac{dose(\gamma - k21) * (\gamma - k31)}{V_1 \left(\gamma - \beta\right) * (\gamma - \alpha)}
$$

and finally, the area under curve is given by:

$$
AUC = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} Cdt = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} (Ae^{-at} + Be^{-\beta t} + Te^{-\gamma t})dt
$$

$$
AUC = \frac{A}{a} + \frac{B}{\beta} + \frac{\Gamma}{\gamma}
$$

Thus AUC is described as a function of the transfer rate constants, the drug dose and the volume of distribution.

### Appendix 2 - Abbreviations and acronyms

- *ACT* Actinomycin D or Dactinomycin
- *AUC* Area Under Curve
- *CR* Complete Remission
- *ER* Estrogen Receptor
- GC **Geometrical Cell**
- *IC50* Drug concentration eliciting 50% of the maximum inhibition
- *LIMP* LImited Mitotic Potential Cells also known as progenitor cells
- *NC* No Change

