

Gait as a Biomarker? Accelerometers Reveal that Reduced Movement Quality while Walking is Associated with Parkinson's Disease, Ageing and Fall Risk

Matthew A. Brodie *Member, IEEE*, Nigel H. Lovell *Fellow, IEEE*, Colleen G. Canning, Hylton B. Menz, Kim Delbaere, Stephen J. Redmond *Senior Member, IEEE*, Mark Latt, Daina L. Sturnieks, Jasmine Menant, Stuart T Smith *Member, IEEE*, Stephen R. Lord

Abstract—Humans are living longer but morbidity has also increased; threatening to create a serious global burden. Our approach is to monitor gait for early warning signs of morbidity. Here we present highlights from a series of experiments into gait as a potential biomarker for Parkinson's disease (PD), ageing and fall risk. Using body-worn accelerometers, we developed several novel camera-less methods to analyze head and pelvis movements while walking. Signal processing algorithms were developed to extract gait parameters that represented the principal components of vigor, head jerk, lateral harmonic stability, and oscillation range. The new gait parameters were compared to accidental falls, mental state and co-morbidities. We observed: 1) People with PD had significantly larger and uncontrolled anteroposterior (AP) oscillations of the head; 2) Older people walked with more lateral head jerk; and, 3) the combination of vigorous and harmonically stable gait was demonstrated by non-fallers. Our findings agree with research from other groups; changes in human gait reflect changes to well-being. We observed; different aspects of gait reflected different functional outcomes. The new gait parameters therefore may be complementary to existing methods and may have potential as biomarkers for specific disorders. However, further research is required to validate our observations, and establish clinical utility.

I. INTRODUCTION

Decreased mobility levels are prevalent in older people, and the predicted aging of the world's population will increase the global burden of these conditions on morbidity and mortality [1]. Changes in gait may prelude major events [2], for example, strokes, cognitive decline, falls, or death, which may be preventable. Accelerometers have previously been connected to the head [3], pelvis [4], trunk [5, 6], and even ski boots [7] to provide an inexpensive way to monitor human movement [8]. In clinical settings, accelerometers have been used to identify between-group differences in the gait of old and young [9, 10], fallers and non-fallers [11], and

people with and without Parkinson's disease (PD) [3, 12]. Statistical associations, however, do not necessarily translate into biomarkers, if prevalence is low, as for PD, and false-positive rates are high.

Previous research with accelerometers has generally focused on the direct interpretation of acceleration [8]. Here, novel signal processing algorithms were developed to extract new measures of gait jerk, harmonic stability, and oscillation range, using accelerometers attached to the head and pelvis. We investigated if these measures revealed a high level of discrimination between groups and therefore the potential of gait as a biomarker for PD, ageing, and increased fall risk.

II. METHODS

Approval by the Human Studies Ethics Committee at the University of New South Wales was given and informed consent was obtained prior to participation. Participants were eligible if they were able to walk 20 meters unassisted, had normal hearing and vision and scored ≥ 24 on the Mini Mental State Examination [13]. With respect to people with PD, only mild idiopathic clinical stage I-II of illness according to Hoehn and Yahr [14] were assessed. Falls in the previous 12 months were recorded. Fallers (one or more falls) were compared to non-fallers (no reported falls).

Age differences in gait were investigated using data from 43 young people, mean age 29 (SD 4) years and 100 older people, mean age 80 (SD 4) years. Potential biomarkers for PD were investigated using data from 10 people with idiopathic PD, mean age 67 (SD 4) years, and 10 healthy age-

M. A. Brodie (email: matthew.brodie@neura.edu.au) K. Delbaere (email: k.delbaere@neura.edu.au) D. L. Sturnieks (email: d.sturnieks@neura.edu.au) J. Menant (email: j.menant@neura.edu.au) and S. R. Lord (email: s.lord@neura.edu.au) are with Neuroscience Research Australia, UNSW Australia, Sydney, NSW 2031.

S. J. Redmond (email: s.redmond@unsw.edu.au) and N. H. Lovell (email: n.lovell@unsw.edu.au) are with the Graduate School of Biomedical Engineering, UNSW Australia, Sydney, NSW 2052.

C. G. Canning (email: colleen.canning@sydney.edu.au) is with the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Sydney, Lidcombe, NSW 1825.

H. B. Menz (email: h.menz@latrobe.edu.au) is with the Faculty of Health Sciences, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia

Mark Latt (email: mark.latt@bigpond.com) is with Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, The University of Sydney, Sydney NSW 2006.

S. T. Smith (email: Stuart.Smith@utas.edu.au) is with the School of Health Sciences, UTAS, Launceston, TAS 7250.




Fig. 1. Pelvic accelerations transformed into the frequency domain by discrete Fourier transform. A high-pass filter cut-off frequency of 0.25 times the step frequency (F_0) preserves most within-stride information.

matched, mean age 66 (SD 7) years. Potential biomarkers for falls were investigated using data from 96 independent-living older people: 35 fallers, mean age 79 (SD 4) years, and 61 non-fallers, mean age 80 (SD 4) years.

Tri-axial accelerometers were attached to the head and pelvis (Opal™ by APDM, sampling frequency 128 Hz). The data from the middle 15 meters of a 19 meter walkway were marked with an external trigger [15]. Results from two repeat walks were combined prior to statistical analysis.

A. Signal Processing

The acceleration signals were processed to extract parameters that represented the principal components of vigor, head jerk, harmonic stability, and oscillation range. Calculations were performed in MATLAB. Step frequency (Fo) was determined by the dominant VT peak in the frequency domain after a discrete Fourier transform (Fig. 1).

A continuously rotational correction was applied to align the vertical axes of the sensors with the global vertical (VT). Accelerations were transformed into the body centered coordinate system [16], whereby anteroposterior (AP) accelerations act in the direction of ambulation, and mediolateral (ML) accelerations act right to left in the horizontal plane. A fourth order low-pass Butterworth filter with cut-off scaled to a quarter of the step frequency (dashed line, Fig. 1) was used to obtain the changing low frequency error matrix (**R**). The corrected accelerations (**A_{Corr}**) were then obtained by rotating the raw accelerations (**A**), see equation (1).

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{Corr}} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{A} \quad (1)$$

The new continuous correction may provide greater reduction in gravitational ‘cross-talk’ relative to previous static methods [4].

Head and pelvic oscillations (Fig. 2) were calculated by integrating the corrected body centered accelerations with respect to time, and filtered to remove any accumulated

integration drift. A fourth order high-pass Butterworth filter with cut-off scaled to a quarter of the step frequency (dashed line, Fig. 1) was used to obtain both velocity and displacement oscillations during gait, which may be visualized as similar to the paths swept out during treadmill walking. The new scaled cut-off approach was developed to preserve most within-stride information while filtering out most accumulated integration error. Optical motion capture was used to determine any accuracy improvements.

Linear head jerk was calculated by differentiating the corrected linear acceleration with respect to time. Root Mean Square (RMS) head jerk was calculated over the AP, ML, and VT axes. The log ratio (2) of lateral to vertical ML/VT jerk was calculated in decibels (dB) creating a normally distributed and dimensionless gait parameter [18].

$$\text{Ratio} = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{\text{RMS ML Jerk}}{\text{RMS VT Jerk}} \right) \quad (2)$$

Lateral harmonic stability of the pelvis was calculated using spectral decomposition to identify the magnitude and dispersion of ‘stabilizing’ ML acceleration peaks. Different to previous analyses using harmonic ratios [15], overlapping eight-step data windows were used instead of two-step data windows, therefore, better adjusting for the monophasic basis of ML movements while walking, providing increased spectral resolution, incorporating measurement of between stride variability, and reducing any noise associated with taking Fourier transforms over finite data windows [19].

B. Statistical Analysis

Classification accuracy (with sensitivity equal to specificity) was assessed using two-fold cross validation boot strapped 5000 times. Group differences between young and old people, between people with PD and healthy controls, and between fallers and non-fallers were assessed using ANOVA. Subspace clusters were partitioned by medians. Principal component analysis (PCA) using the Varimax rotation method was used to investigate which gait parameters were most representative of different gait




Fig. 2. Head and pelvic (sacrum) oscillations while walking for PD affected and healthy gait. Left (L) and right (R) heel strikes (HS) and toe-offs (TO) are represented by circles and stars.

constructs. Extraction of components was terminated by an Eigenvalue value below unity. Pearson’s correlations were used to assess the independence between different aspects of gait.

III. RESULTS

When pelvic movements using accelerometers were compared to the optical motion capture analysis of walking: The continuous rotational correction and new scaled filter cut-off approach reduced errors by approximately 10-fold relative to a fixed approach that used a static correction and 0.1Hz high-pass filter cut-off (Table 1).

TABLE 1. ERROR AS A PERCENTAGE OF MEASUREMENT RANGE. THE NEW SCALED APPROACH RESULTED IN AN APPROXIMATELY 10-FOLD REDUCTION IN ERROR.

	Pelvis		
	AP	ML	VT
95% Range of Movement			
Optical System [cm]	4.62	6.55	4.17
Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)			
Scaled Method [cm]	0.76	1.06	0.22
Error [%]	16%	16%	5%
Fixed Method [cm]			
Fixed Method [cm]	8.03	5.51	2.01
Error [%]	174%	84%	48%

Within our data, PCA (Table 2) revealed gait parameters reflecting vigor, for example walking speed and step length, were largely independent of gait parameters that described head or pelvic stability.

On average, older people presented increased RMS lateral head jerk and took shorter steps than younger people. ML/VT jerk correctly classified 89% of participants (Fig. 3) and was superior in this regard to all other gait parameters including step length, which was the best established gait parameter we tested. A step length of 67 cm correctly classified 71% of participants. ML/VT jerk and step length were only weakly correlated ($r^2=0.03$) suggesting they largely reflect different aspects of gait.

TABLE 2. PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS OF GAIT. NORMALIZED WEIGHTINGS ARE SHOWN AND SORTED FOR EACH COMPONENT. HIGHLIGHTED HAVE A WEIGHTING GREATER THAN 0.5.

Principal Components of gait	1) Gait	2) Pelvic	3) Head
	Vigor	Stability	Stability
Head Jerk VT	.88	-.03	-.21
Head Jerk ML	.77	.09	.47
Cadence	.75	.24	-.12
Speed	.74	.39	-.28
Head Jerk AP	.57	-.24	.53
Step Length	.56	.39	-.29
Harmonic Ratio AP	-.08	.87	-.00
Step Time Variability	-.20	-.82	-.09
Harmonic Ratio VT	.19	.70	-.12
ML/VT Jerk	-.08	.17	.86
AP/VT Jerk	-.20	-.25	.79




Fig. 3. Classification of age, ML/VT head jerk was 89% accurate.

People with PD presented significantly ($p=0.02$) faster AP head movements (33.2 cms^{-1}), as measured by the velocity of AP head oscillations, than the healthy age matched group (24.5 cms^{-1}), which was uncorrelated ($r^2=0.008$) with the significant ($p=0.02$) slower walking speed (1.2 ms^{-1} for PD, vs. 1.4 ms^{-1} for the healthy age matched).

With respect to falls, when different walking speeds were taken into account, a significant subspace clustering effect was observed for lateral harmonic stability (Fig. 4). The fast and stable group (top right quadrant) were 5.3 times less likely to have fallen than all other participants: relative risk 0.19, 95% confidence interval 0.06-0.57.

IV. DISCUSSION

Measurement noise was reduced 10-fold using the new method. The scaled filter cut-offs were generally around 0.5 Hz and were significantly higher than previously approaches that have used fixed cut-offs around 0.1 Hz [17]. For both methods VT position error was least because gravitational cross-talk has least effect along this axis. For measurements of head and pelvic stability in gait, we suggest scaling the filter cut-offs with step frequency is optimal because it




Fig. 4. Better separation, the fast and stable walkers (top right quadrant) had a 5.3 times lower risk of falls.

preserves most information about the movements of interest, within strides, while rejecting most measurement noise. Such error reduction was important for the subsequent investigation into gait as a biomarker.

In our experiments, we observed; different aspects of gait reflected health status and different functional outcomes such as fall risk. Similar to previous research [3, 8, 10-12], we observed that younger people, non-fallers, and those without PD walk faster, and take longer steps, with reduced variability of step time. However, when we assessed these established gait parameters using univariate statistical analysis, we found substantial overlap between the distributions from different groups [18, 19], which limits their utility as specific biomarkers at an individual level.

The PCA revealed that head and pelvic stability were distinct and were largely independently of walking speed. Therefore, we investigated if these new measures could provide additional information about gait impairments in different populations. Both as independent variables and through non-linear subspace clustering with walking speed, an existing measure of gait vigor. Each new parameter may quantify a different aspect of “steadiness” while walking and is different to other measures such as local dynamic stability, step time variability, or existing harmonic ratios.

Relative to traditional measures including step length [18], superior group separation, reflecting age differences in gait, was achieved using the log ratio of ML/VT head jerk. Older people presented an increased ratio, indicating greater lateral head jerk which was largely independent of reduced walking vigor. The jerkier lateral head movements therefore suggest that the older people were less able to rely on their mechanical (inverted pendulum) stability as they oscillated side-to-side in time with their stepping.

People with PD walked with increased AP head oscillations, which were uncorrelated to their decrease in walking speed. This suggests motor impairment symptoms relating to gait instability maybe distinct from hypokinetic gait symptoms. Potentially this provides separate targets for therapy.

Separation between fallers and non-fallers was significantly improved when above average walking speed was combined with above average lateral harmonic stability of the pelvis [19]. An observation that suggests fast walking alone may not always be protective of falls. Therefore, future research should investigate if interventions aimed at reducing fall risk and improving mobility should also focus on enhancing lateral stability.

V. SUMMARY

We found the new gait parameters were associated with different principal components and were sensitive to different conditions. Different measures of gait “steadiness” may quantify different aspects of gait and may be complementary to existing measures of walking speed or vigor. Combining measures using subspace clustering (Fig. 4) enables more homogeneous subgroups to be identified, which increases the potential for gait to be used as a biomarker. However, further research is required to validate our observations in larger populations, and to establish utility in clinical practice.

REFERENCES

- [1] Salomon JA, Wang H, Freeman MK, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Lopez AD, et al. Healthy life expectancy for 187 countries, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2144-62.
- [2] Montero-Odasso M, Hachinski V. Preludes to brain failure: executive dysfunction and gait disturbances. *Neurological sciences : official journal of the Italian Neurological Society and of the Italian Society of Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2013.
- [3] Latt MD, Menz HB, Fung VS, Lord SR. Acceleration Patterns of the Head and Pelvis During Gait in Older People With Parkinson's Disease: A Comparison of Fallers and Nonfallers. *J Gerontol a-Biol*. 2009;64(6):700-6.
- [4] Moe-Nilssen R. A new method for evaluating motor control in gait under real-life environmental conditions. Part 1: The instrument. *Clinical Biomechanics*. 1998;13(4-5):320-7.
- [5] Kavanagh J, Morrison S, Barrett RS. Coordination of head and trunk accelerations during walking. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2005;94(4):468-75.
- [6] Wilhelmsen K, Nordahl SHG, Moe-Nilssen R. Attenuation of trunk acceleration during walking in patients with unilateral vestibular deficiencies. *Journal of Vestibular Research*. 2010;20:439-46.
- [7] Brodie M, Walmsley A, Page W. Fusion motion capture: a prototype system using inertial measurement units and GPS for the biomechanical analysis of ski racing. *Sports Technology*. 2008;1(1):17-28.
- [8] Kavanagh JJ, Menz HB. Accelerometry: A technique for quantifying movement patterns during walking. *Gait & Posture*. 2008;28(1):1-15.
- [9] Kavanagh JJ, Barrett RS, Morrison S. Age-related differences in head and trunk coordination during walking. *Human Movement Science*. 2005;24(4):574-87.
- [10] Menant JC, Steele JR, Menz HB, Munro BJ, Lord SR. Step Time Variability and Pelvis Acceleration Patterns of Younger and Older Adults: Effects of Footwear and Surface Conditions. *Res Sports Med*. 2011;19(1):28-41.
- [11] Yogev G, Plotnik M, Peretz C, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. Gait asymmetry in patients with Parkinson's disease and elderly fallers: when does the bilateral coordination of gait require attention? *Exp Brain Res*. 2007;177(3):336-46.
- [12] Hausdorff JM. Gait dynamics in Parkinson's disease: common and distinct behavior among stride length, gait variability, and fractal-like scaling. *Chaos*. 2009;19(2):026113.
- [13] Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of psychiatric research*. 1975;12(3)
- [14] Hoehn MM, Yahr MD. Parkinsonism: onset, progression and mortality. *Neurology*. 1967;17(5):427-42.
- [15] Menz HB, Lord SR, Fitzpatrick RC. Acceleration patterns of the head and pelvis when walking on level and irregular surfaces. *Gait & Posture*. 2003;18(1):35-46.
- [16] Brodie MAD, Lord SR, Smith ST, Menz HB. Accuracy of postural sway in gait measured with accelerometers. XXIV Congress of the ISB Biomechanics; Natal, Brazil2013.
- [17] Zijlstra W, Hof AL. Assessment of spatio-temporal gait parameters from trunk accelerations during human walking. *Gait & Posture*. 2003;18(2):1-10.
- [18] Brodie MA, Menz HB, Lord SR. Age-associated changes in head jerk while walking reveal altered dynamic stability in older people. *Exp Brain Res*. 2013.
- [19] Brodie MA, Menz HB, Smith ST, Delbaere K, Lord SR. Good lateral harmonic stability combined with adequate gait speed is required for low fall risk. *Gerontology*. (In Press 2014).