A new method to determine joint range of movement and stiffness in Rheumatoid Arthritic Patients

James Connolly, Joan Condell, Kevin Curran, Senior member IEEE, Philip Gardiner

Abstract— Rheumatoid arthritis affects 0.5 - 1% of the general population. The prediction and prognosis of the disease varies for each individual and its course can detrimentally affect the psychosocial condition of the patient. Clinicians and Therapists aim to quickly diagnose and treat those with this debilitating disease. Detection relies heavily on manual evaluation methods that are dependent on training and can vary between observers. Angle measuring instrument, tape measure and grip strength dynamometer are used to assess the joint range and strength of a patient to determine their hand function. Joint stiffness can be a determining factor when diagnosing the advancement and improvement of Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA). This paper outlines the development of a hand movement measurement tool to accurately quantify patients' flexion, extension, abduction and adduction movement of each finger joint and quantifies the symptom of "early morning stiffness". It also describes the problems that arise when using a data glove to accurately measure Range Of Movement and discusses alternative methods to overcome these issues.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is a disease which attacks the synovial tissue that lubricates the joints of the human skeleton. This systemic condition affects the musculoskeletal system, including bones, joints, muscles and tendons that contribute to loss of function and range of movement and difficulties in performing activities of daily living (ADL). Approximately 20,000 new cases are diagnosed with RA each year [1]. Up to 4 out of 10 of the working population with RA lose their jobs within five years of diagnosis [2]. Current evaluation techniques used to quantify RA are time consuming. A patient who has suspected RA is examined by an Occupational Therapist (OT) to quantify joint range using a goniometer, a tape measure, a Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) disability index and a grip strength dynamometer. Joint stiffness is a symptom of RA that has long been used by clinicians as a parameter to measure the degree of damage caused to a joint, and as an assessment determinant to quantify how much improvement has occurred after therapy. The degree of stiffness suffered by an RA patient is assessed via responses to a HAQ alongside

*Research supported by Department Of Education and Learning (DEL).

James Connolly is a PhD student in the Intelligent Systems Research Centre, University Of Ulster, Magee College, Derry, Northern Ireland (e-mail: Connolly-j3@email.ulster.ac.uk).

Joan Condell is a Senior Lecturer Science in the Intelligent Systems Research Centre, University Of Ulster, Magee College, Derry, Northern Ireland (e-mail: j.condell@ulster.ac.uk).

Kevin Curran is a reader in Computer Science in the Intelligent Systems Research Centre, University Of Ulster, Magee College, Derry, Northern Ireland (e-mail: kj.curran@ulster.ac.uk).

Philip Gardiner is a consultant Rheumatologist with the Western Health and Social Care Trust, Altnagelvin Hospital, Derry, Northern Ireland (email: Philip.gardiner@westerntrust.hscni.net). patient feedback. Joint stiffness is used by clinicians as a parameter to measure the degree of damage caused to a joint and as an assessment determinant to quantify improvement after surgery. To date, stiffness has not been sufficiently identified to provide a standard for identification and diagnosis to be of any benefit. This study focuses on the development of a hand Range of Movement (ROM) measuring tool to quantify joint stiffness continually using a data glove and controlling software.

II. METHODS

The proposed ROM tool consists of a data glove and controlling software. The software system provides administration for user management, calibration control, objective and reference management and detailed data analysis. The system has initially been developed using the 5DT Data Glove 14 Ultra [3], [4] which uses stretchable lycra to support the manufacturers' proprietary fibre-optic sensors. The glove contains 14 sensors placed over the metacarpophalangeal (MCP) and Proximal Interphalangeal (PIP) joints and abduction sensors between all MCP finger joints and the thumb and index finger.



Figure 1. Controlling software with 5DT glove

User creation and glove calibration is initially performed within the clinical setting. Varying differences in hand height, width and finger thickness necessitates glove calibration for each user of the system. Calibration is achieved by completing a preselected set of finger movements that place each finger sensor to minimum and maximum positions. Calibration readings are used to calculate angular and velocity values. An objective routine defines parameters used during a recording. An objective is assigned to a patient and is performed at home during bouts of joint stiffness and at specific times defined by the clinician. Angular movement and velocity data are captured during an objective routine. Joint stiffness is detected by measuring the maximum levels of velocity captured during flexion and extension hand movement. Joint stiffness change is measured as a variation in maximum velocity readings when compared to previous velocity calculations obtained during an objective. Objectives recorded by a patient with reported joint stiffness may be compared with velocity measurements of normal patients. Stiffness is identified as a comparable decrease in maximum velocity to that from normal patient velocity levels.

III. RESULTS

Movement and velocity data shown in the figures opposite were collected during an objective routine completed by an adult male with normal ROM. The objective routine tested ROM of the Index MCP joint with an angular range set between 10° to 80°. The subject performed 5 repetitions within 20 seconds. The subject began the objective by holding their hand in a level position, followed by a clenched-fist position. A repetition was completed once angular movement surpassed the angular range defined in the objective routine. A detailed breakdown of results is shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2, Fig. 3 which graphically represent movement and velocity data recorded during the objective routine. Table 1 shows a detailed breakdown of flexion and extension time and minimum and maximum angles achieved during each repetition. The subject needed 0.43 seconds to flex their index MCP joint to 80° during repetition 1 and a further 0.36 seconds to extend this joint back to 10°. The complete repetition was completed in 0.79 seconds. The maximum angle reached during repetition 1 was 86°, and the minimum angle reached was 4.7°.

Fig. 2 graphically represents angular data collected during this same objective routine. The overall shape is square in appearance, representing smooth finger joint movement from minimum to maximum objective boundaries. Fig. 3 shows velocity recorded during the objective routine and each column represents maximum velocity detected during flexion and extension. Velocity values are displayed in degrees / second. A subject who suffers from joint stiffness has a perception of difficulty moving a joint, although ROM should not be affected. To demonstrate how angular and velocity values should appear for an RA patient with stiffness, the same objective routine was performed by a subject with normal hand movement at a slower rate. Slower joint movement demonstrates how angular and velocity data from an RA hand should look. The subject was asked to perform 5 repetitions within 40 seconds. Fig. 4 shows angular data for the simulated damaged hand. Joint movement is slower as demonstrated by the gently sloping curve of each repetition in comparison to the square-shaped one of a normal hand (Fig. 1). An RA patient may move their hand more rigidly, providing further distinction between normal and RA joint movement.

		J							
Value	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Mean	Max	Min	Range
Index MCP									
Flex(secs)	0.43	0.68	0.56	0.64	0.55	0.5708	0.427	0.683	0.256
Ext(secs)	0.36	0.4	0.52	0.35	0.45	0.4164	0.353	0.518	0.165
Total (secs)	0.79	1.08	1.07	0.99	1.0	0.9872	0.79	1.081	0.291
Max Angle °	86	90.8	91.4	90.4	95.2	90.8	95.2	86	9.2
Min Angle ^o	4.7	3.5	1.5	4.6	3-5	3.6	4-7	1.5	3.2

Table 1. Flexion and extension for each repetition during an objective routine



Figure 2. Angular values calculated during the exercise routine



Figure 3. Velocity values calculated for the exercise routine

Table 2. Summary table showing flexion and extension time for a damaged index MCP joint.

Value	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Mean	Max	Min	Range
Index MCP									
Flex(secs)	2.55	2.52	2.03	1.59	1.56	2.0484	1.559	2.545	0.986
Ext(secs)	3.6	3.26	3.03	3.1	6.83	3.9666	3.033	6.834	3.801
Total (secs)	6.15	5.78	5.06	4.69	8.39	6.015	4.688	8.393	3.705
Max Angle °	89.9	97.8	96.7	97-5	96.2	95.6	97.8	89.9	7.9
Min Angle °	8.5	5.2	6.6	5.2	7.4	6.6	8.5	5.2	3.3



Figure 4. Angular values recorded during an objective routine to simulate joint stiffness



Figure 5. Lower recorded velocity values may be a characteristic of joint stiffness

The velocity chart in Fig. 5 demonstrates the velocity recorded during the same simulated RA hand movement. Velocity remains quite close to zero, with a maximum peak of 0.3 degrees/second. This figure is very small when compared to the normal hand when velocity data peaked at over 6 degrees / second (Fig. 3).

IV. VALIDATION OF RESULTS

Data presented in the Section III is currently verified using a set of wooden blocks accurately cut to 20° , 45° , 60° and 80° angles. Goniometric measurement of each block angle verified angle precision. When a subject places their hand onto each block of wood whilst wearing the 5DT glove, angular readings are within 5° of values shown by the goniometer, although readings vary if maximum flexion is applied to glove sensors by clenching the hand in a fist gesture. This may be caused by the lycra-based material of the glove. Results return to within 5° of accuracy after approximately 40 - 50 seconds. Hand size has an effect on accuracy, with smaller hands producing the highest level of imprecision compared to larger hand sizes.

V. ACCURACY IMPROVEMENT

Calibration can be quite problematic for RA patients with limited ROM. Each sensor on the 5DT determines joint movement as a variance in light intensity passed along its fibre-optic cable. When an oversized finger joint bends, increased pressure applied to the underside of a sensor distorts the fiber-optic cable, causing inaccurate raw data readings. The same occurs with an under-sized finger as excessive glove material accumulates below the sensor. If the glove is loosely-fitting, sensors are not placed sufficiently close enough to each corresponding joint to move synchronously with it as it bends, so allowing the finger joint to move before the glove sensor detects movement.

A. Calculating ROM linearly

Calibration determines the minimum and maximum values for each glove sensor. Fig. 6 demonstrates raw data values and associated angular readings for a small hand. An angle may be calculated at any point along the line using a raw value. Using this principle, minimum and maximum values should be derivable using two raw-angular sets of data and a linear equation to determine the full ROM for that joint. An accurate instance of a range relies on two precise angles and their associated raw values.



Figure 6. Raw glove data and associated angles for the index MCP joint of a small hand

Two wooden blocks cut at 45° and 90° and a 0° flat surface were used on two subjects with small and medium hand sizes. Initial findings focused on the index MCP joint. Each subject placed their hand on a flat surface and a raw value was captured from the glove to represent 0°. Each subject then placed their hand on either the 45° or 90° wooden block. Both raw values and their corresponding angular representations were used to calculate the full raw range and associated angles. This range was stored in a lookup table within the controlling software. When a raw value was captured from the glove, its associated angular value was determined from the lookup table and presented on-screen.

When the medium sized hand was calibrated using 0° and 45°, both angles were accurately reproducible. However the 90° value was greatly exaggerated and extended beyond 100°. The same findings were discovered when using 0° and 90° to calculate an angular range. Again both determining angular values were repeatable. The 45° value was less accurate, with an average representation of 20°. Closing the hand into a fist affected the accuracy of readings. However results returned to their original calibrated range within 40-60 seconds later. Angular values were more accurate when a maximum value was determined first, followed by the lesser angles. Also, the 0° value varied by 3° if the hand was placed

flat on a surface, compared to the value when the hand was held flat but raised slightly above the flat surface. This may have an effect on angular accuracy as the hand will not be placed on a flat surface during an exercise, especially when determining values close to 0°. When the small handed subject calibrated their hand using 0° and 45° wooden angles, both readings were reproducible but the 90° reading extended beyond a 100° angle. When 0° and 90° wooden blocks were used for calibration, both angles were reproducible when placed on the wooden blocks, but the 45° value was inaccurate, with an average reading of 20°. Closing and opening the fist had a greater effect on 0° accuracy than that of the medium sized hand. This may be due to more movement of the smaller hand inside the glove as the finger joint is extended to the 0° position.

Results suggest that readings taken from the glove sensors do not follow a linear pattern and are affected by variances in hand size and shape.

B. Calculating ROM using a neural network

An Artificial Neural Network (NN) may provide more accurate ROM for each sensor than the current linear approach. A supervised back propagation NN was chosen for its appropriate characteristics. The back propagation NN algorithm is provided with examples of inputs and required outputs and the error rate is then calculated (the difference between actual and expected results). The key aim of a back propagation NN is to minimize its error rate until the NN learns the training data. The inputs used for the back propagation NN is raw glove data and example outputs are the associated angular value for the given raw value. Initially, the NN was provided with four values (0°, 45°, 60 ° and 90°) and the NN provided estimations for a small and medium hand. Wooden blocks used previously to validate results using predefined angles were used as input angles for raw glove data. Initial findings for the medium sized hand found an improvement for the full range of movement, especially at the recorded angles (0°, 45°, 60° and 90°). Optimally, the range generated by the NN should contain one raw value for each estimated angle. Fig. 7 shows the optimum ratio of raw values to angular pairs (green) and excessive or sub-optimal range (grey). An excessive amount of raw values exist for each angle range between 0.5° - 24.5°, and between 93.5° -102.5°. The optimum pairing of one raw value for an associated angle occurs for angles between 34.5° - 36.5° and between 84.5° - 93.5°.



Figure 7. Relation between NN generated raw values and estimated angles. Optimum estimation occurs for raw values with only one associated angle.

Two main issues occur from the imbalance of raw/angular values across the NN estimation range. An excessive amount of values for a small angular range creates a problem when deciding which angular output is best suited to the currently inputted raw value. If the first match is chosen from the lookup table, the angular accuracy will vary depending on the current flexure of the sensor. Sensor readings will be more accurate in the 0.5° - 24.5° and 93.5° - 102.5° ranges if the hand is in flexion and accuracy is greatly affected in this range during extension. For example, if the sensor has reached maximum flexure and is returning to 0°, the last raw input will have an angular value of up to 24.5°, even though the hand may be in the 0° position. Secondly, accuracy is greatly diminished as the gap in raw values increases for each associated angle. Issues could be resolved by smoothing the NN estimation to remove raw data and angular pairing where duplicates exist and increase the number of pairs where none exist.

C. Smoothing of NN data

NN smoothing occurs in several stages. Firstly the table of raw values is examined. If the results of the second raw value subtracted from the first is less than one, then it is added to a temporary lookup table. As the result increases, so does the missing number of raw values and angle pairs in the lookup table. To resolve this, additional pairs are added in response to the size of the gap for each subtraction. When missing data has been added to the table, duplicate values are then removed. If the first raw value is less than the second value, then it is added to a new lookup table. If both values are the same or the second value is greater than the first, it is skipped during the search process. Finally, angular values are processed for duplicates. Fig. 8 shows the full range of NN estimated data and the differences in each raw value and angular pair. Essentially, the variance across the total range is less than two raw values. Fig. 9 shows the NN estimated lookup table values once smoothing has been applied. This range is for estimation of a normal sized hand. The nonlinear appearance of the NN derived data in Fig. 7 more closely mimics the real world movement of its associated glove sensor than the standard linear approach used by the glove manufacturer [4]. Four wooden blocks were used as inputs to the NN. These ranges were 0°, 45°, 60° and 80°. The resultant lookup table provides accurate repeatable results for the four angles.



Figure 8. NN estimated lookup range after applying the smoothing algorithm.



Figure 9. Full range of raw data and associated angular values that has been derived from the NN. Values have been smoothed.

VI. CONCLUSION

RA is a debilitating disease. The diagnosis and treatment of joint stiffness remains a challenging problem. To date, the quantification of joint stiffness has not been sufficient to specify a standard whereby patient stiffness can be calculated and categorized. Having an objective measurement of stiffness for all finger joints will provide valuable information on recovery progression and comparison data for different treatment strategies. This research examined the potential of using a data glove and bespoke application to determine movement and stiffness of each finger joint using a user-defined set of exercises. Initial results show how movement and stiffness may vary quite dramatically between normal and stiff joints and demonstrate how stiffness may be determinable using velocity and angular readings. Improvement to raw data extracted from the data glove was achieved using a back propagation NN combined with a smoothing algorithm. This resolved inaccuracies within the ROM of each glove sensor caused by the linear approach to angular resolution used typically by data glove manufacturers.

REFERENCES

- N. Wiles, P. Symmons, D, B. Harrison, E. Barrett, and G. Scott, D, "Estimating the incidence of rheumatoid arthritis - trying to hit a moving target," *Arthritis Rheum*, 1999.
- [2] A. Young, J. Dixey, E. Kulinskaya, N. Cox, P. Davies, and J. Devlin, "Which patients stop working because of rheumatoid arthritis? Results of five years' follow up in 732 patients from early RA study (ERAS)," *Ann Rheum Dis*, vol. 4, no. 61, pp. 335-340, 2002.
- [3] J. Connolly, K. Curran, J. Condell, and P. Gardiner, "Wearable rehab technology for automatic measurement of patients with arthritis," in *Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare* (*PervasiveHealth*), 2011 5th International Conference on, 2011, pp. 508–509.
- [4] 5DT, "5DT Data Glove 14 Ultra." [Online]. Available: http://www.5dt.com/products/pdataglove14.html. [Accessed: 21-Nov-2011].